PENINSULA PUBLISHING LLC

SHIFAA

Vol. **(2024)**, 2024, **pp**. 52–55
ISSN: 3078-2686

Research Article

The Future of Surgery: Robotic-Assisted Procedures and Their Impact

Abdulazeez AlSajri [1,2,*], Nour Hariry [3]

[1] *Department of Computer Science, University Arts, Sciences and Technology, Beirut, Lebanon.*

[2] *Al-Mashahadah Municipality Directorate, Baghdad Municipalities Directorate, Baghdad Governorate, Baghdad, Iraq.*

[3] *Diploma of General Pharmacy, Cis College, Saida, Lebanon.*

ARTICLE INFO

Article History

Received 20 Dec 2023

Revised: 5 Feb 2024
Accepted 4 Mar 2024
Published 25 Mar 2024

Keywords
Robotic-assisted surgery,
Minimally invasive procedures,
Healthcare innovation,
Surgical precision,
Patient outcomes.

ABSTRACT

The integration of robotic-assisted systems in surgical procedures is redefining the landscape of modern medicine. These advanced robotic systems offer unmatched precision, enhanced control, and improved visualization, enabling surgeons to perform complex surgeries with minimal invasiveness. The resulting benefits, including shorter recovery times, reduced blood loss, and decreased risks of human error, have fostered widespread acceptance of robotic surgery across various medical fields, such as urology, gynecology, and cardiothoracic surgery. This paper explores the historical evolution of robotic surgery, current applications, advantages, limitations, and the impact of these technologies on healthcare systems and patient outcomes. It also discusses the ethical and economic considerations surrounding the expansion of robotic-assisted surgery. As robotic technologies continue to evolve, their adoption promises to further enhance patient care and surgical efficiency, though it requires careful oversight and strategic investment to ensure equitable access and long-term viability.

1. INTRODUCTION

Robotic assistance has gained significant attention in recent years due to its ability to transform the field of surgery. With the rapid development of newer surgical robots, their capabilities have reached unprecedented heights. These advanced machines offer a multitude of benefits, revolutionizing the operating theater and reshaping the future of medical procedures. The impact of robotic systems in surgery is expected to be significant in the next 20 years. As technology continues to advance at an astonishing pace, the possibilities for robot-assisted procedures are expanding exponentially. Surgeons and medical professionals will have access to precise and dexterous robots that can enhance their skills, making operations safer, more efficient, and less invasive.

The advantages of robotic assistance are numerous. Surgical robots can perform complex procedures with exceptional precision, surpassing human capabilities in many aspects. They offer improved visualization, allowing surgeons to view the surgical site with enhanced clarity and magnification. Additionally, robotic systems provide superior control and stability, reducing the risk of human error and minimizing complications [1].

Moreover, the future impact of robotic surgery extends beyond individual procedures. As these technologies become more accessible and refined, their adoption by both patients and clinicians is anticipated to increase significantly. Patients may benefit from reduced pain, faster recovery times, and smaller incisions. Surgeons, on the other hand, can enhance their skills through virtual reality simulations and virtual planning tools offered by robotic systems.

However, with these potential advantages come important considerations. The widespread adoption of robotic assistance requires careful evaluation of its ethical and economic implications. It is crucial to ensure that the cost of robotic procedures remains reasonable, allowing all patients to access this innovative technology. Additionally, robust regulations and comprehensive training programs need to be implemented to guarantee the safe and responsible use of these advancements[1].

In conclusion, the continued development of robotic systems holds great promise for the future of surgery. Innovations in this field can lead to more robot-assisted procedures, improved patient outcomes, and enhanced surgical techniques. However, careful examination, ethical considerations, and proper training must accompany this progress to ensure the responsible integration of robotic assistance in the operating theater. With the potential to positively transform healthcare, the future of surgery with robotic assistance appears incredibly promising [2].

*Corresponding author email: ak104@live.aul.edu.lb
DOI: https://doi.org/10.70470/SHIFAA/2024/006

There is no doubt that robotic assistants will have a key role to play within the surgery of the future. Technologies are already available to surgeons inside the operating room that allow them access to imaging and laboratory data, enable them to manipulate the surgical environment with a high-resolution three-dimensional, high-definition view, and perform more complex surgery more safely than ever before. Understanding the evolved role of new robotically assisted procedures will stand patients and those working within healthcare systems in the best stead for the massive changes that lie ahead. Since the late 1980s, there have been a small but increasing number of centers across the world performing robot-assisted surgery. The advantages of these machines are immediately evident over earlier technologies: they can be operated remotely and are less cumbersome. However, robots are also used to perform procedures within patients, not just on a patient's skin. The future role of these technologies looks set to offer something quite different from the basic ground on which they developed[3].

2. EVOLUTION OF ROBOTIC-ASSISTED SURGERY

Robotic-assisted interventions have the potential to revolutionize surgery. The journey from the first prototypes to the systems we see now has been a complex one that has combined advances in fundamental research, explored and exploited by surgeons, with the development of the technology. In this chapter, we will review the development of robotic surgery from its inception to where we are now. We will explore how the technology itself and the influences on the manufacture of the commercial options have driven the progression of developments, while highlighting some of the pitfalls and failings that have occurred as engineers have explored potential options and involved the potential users in their development[4].
The first robotic-assisted surgical procedure was completed in 1985. However, it wasn't until a number of years later that the field began to gain commercial interest. Early generations of robotic systems, while demonstrating the feasibility of robotic-assisted procedures, had an extensive list of limitations. More recent generations of systems, combined with growing surgical expertise and introducing these systems to an audience otherwise unfamiliar with the technology, have seen a number of landmark procedures performed. Manufacturers have been, and still are, key in driving the field. That said, much of the innovation has occurred through the introduction of start-up products, some of which have since been acquired by the dominant entities. Over the years, the development in this field has been driven by a number of factors. Patient expectations are rising such that many consider small incisions and minimally invasive surgery as a fundamental 'right.' At the same time, they demand an improvement in the collective performance of healthcare providers, who are expected to deliver safe and effective treatment more rapidly and at a lower cost than before[5].

3. CURRENT APPLICATIONS IN ROBOTIC SURGERY

Robotics have found a home in varied surgical specialties, including urology, gynecology, general surgery, ENT, and cardiothoracic surgery. No longer a novel idea, robotic surgery has become more ubiquitous. Over the last two decades, surgeons have developed skills, clinical pathways, and outcomes for patients that have brought robotic surgery to the mainstream of modern medical care. For some, such as urology, gynecology, and gastrointestinal, there is limited reason to suggest broadening robotic platforms to other fields that are commonly discussed. Nonetheless, the step for multi-quadrant surgical systems to leave gynecology, urology, and head and neck and enter other more difficult life-threatening diseases where applicability remains necessary is presently being explored[6].
The surgical systems required for many of these general robotic procedures, however, exist and have been described. Including abdominal wall fascial suturing, these platforms describe 3D visualization and the ability to change the direction of the camera remotely, miniaturized wristed instruments and staplers, and an endoscope with angulation taking the form of new telescopes, retraction devices, retractors, and staplers. Applications using the platform for both visual and suturing purposes have been described. Clinical trials on patients who underwent various types of procedures, including cholecystectomy, reported only one complication related to their robot. Technical assessment of speed and precision using a specific crane was also performed for four equine dissection tasks; the time between each of the robot-assisted and manual dissections was comparable. A cost-benefit analysis of the use of a robotic system in surgical procedures on patients with pharyngeal cancer with positive tumor nodes supports the use of a robotic platform that can perform two-quadrant surgery in the future[7].

4. ADVANTAGES AND LIMITATIONS OF ROBOTIC-ASSISTED PROCEDURES

Robotic-assisted procedures offer several promising advantages when compared to either laparoscopic or open surgical techniques. These include reduced patient blood loss, shorter hospital recovery times, increased surgeon hand-eye coordination, reduced surgeon fatigue, improved outcomes, less invasive techniques that minimize tissue damage, and a reduction in the number of incisional hernias. Robotic-assisted procedures are also associated with enhanced surgeon ergonomics, stress reduction, increased comfort, and posture magnification, which are particularly important during lengthy procedures. In contrast, robotic-assisted procedures also feature notable limitations[8]. Robots and their ac 8cessories are expensive to purchase and maintain, requiring specialized tools, skilled surgeons, and adequate technician training. This,

along with increases in procedural costs and operative time, as well as patient outcomes and results similar to traditional laparoscopy, may be key reasons for the slow progression of robotic surgery. In addition, although robots allow for improved ergonomics, they do not necessarily reduce the incidence of work-related musculoskeletal injuries in surgeons. Major complications, such as death, converted procedures, ureteral, bladder, rectal, or bowel trauma, as well as minor complications may arise during robotic-assisted interventions. Their use and deployment also raise important ethical issues surrounding equity of access to healthcare given the advantages that are offered to those who can afford it and preemptive care to those who need medication[9][10].

5. IMPACT ON HEALTHCARE SYSTEMS AND PATIENT OUTCOMES

To help curb some of the expenses associated with the integration of robotic-assisted systems, researchers are exploring the possibility of changing current hospital infrastructures. Implementing new protocols and encouraging specialized service lines to highlight the robust technology of these robotic platforms can increase the number of operations that can be completed each day, closing the gap between the quantity of needed operations and the lack of available surgeons. Further, even if the system is still expensive to implement, the majority of cost savings can be obtained from the reduced length of hospital stays. Robotic-assisted surgery has been shown to have shorter recovery times in patients, reducing the time they spend in contact with the healthcare system[11]. The effect would provide a greater throughput of patients compared to traditional operations, potentially balancing out costs. Patient satisfaction rates are also directly correlated with the time they spend in the hospital, and thus robotic systems that offer shorter recovery times may also allow for increased patient satisfaction. The ease of recovery is another important measure of the success of the robotic surgery program. Thus, the combination of these two patient outcomes is important to consider when evaluating a new program.
Moreover, advances in medical research currently rely on patient participation, and the majority tend to be white males, leading to finding cures in the absence of subgroups[12]. With the implementation of robotic surgery, patients from various geographical regions can be served by an expert who would have otherwise been out of reach. Finally, participating in robotic technology gives surgeons a cutting-edge skill that is expected to be in demand in the future. Especially in their formative years, it prepares them to cater to established patients, as well as up-and-coming patients who are interested in having minimally invasive procedures. The training and experience accumulated in hospital systems during residency and later at a physician level can provide healthcare disciplines, such as nursing and anesthesiology, with skills for the future and also prime hospitals for the integration of future non-robotic technologies. The policy and procedure systems tailored to robotic surgeries can provide best practices for medical and surgical directors who are responsible for the purchase and investment of new technologies. In contrast to the rapid acquisition of desired systems, industry professional support and market demand may be the biggest obstacle. The lack of support for subsidiaries to go from trial to purchase in spite of the high-quality clinical review and institutional recommendations is a common theme among robotic systems. Regulatory aspects must also be taken into consideration, as the new technologies are approved and may require demonstration case processes where research, data, and benchmarks may alter the regulatory requirements and changes in hospital and clinician policy and care. In conclusion, the use of robotic surgery systems is beneficial to both the healthcare system and its patients [13].

6. CONCLUSION

Robotic-assisted surgery has shown remarkable potential in transforming surgical practices, offering unprecedented benefits to both patients and healthcare providers. With the ability to improve surgical precision and reduce recovery times, robotic systems contribute to enhanced patient outcomes and satisfaction. However, the challenges of high costs, accessibility, and ethical concerns must be addressed for this technology to become universally available. As robotic systems become more sophisticated and integrated into medical education, they will play an increasingly vital role in shaping the future of healthcare. The sustained growth and acceptance of robotic-assisted surgery depend on continued innovation, training, and the establishment of regulatory frameworks that promote safe and equitable access to this transformative technology.

Funding:

The authors declare that no specific financial aid or sponsorship was received from governmental, private, or commercial entities to support this study. The research was solely financed by the authors' own contributions.

Conflicts of Interest:

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest in this study.

Acknowledgment:

The authors express their heartfelt appreciation to their institutions for the essential support and motivation provided throughout the research period.

References

[1] D. K. Agarwal, V. Sharma, A. Toussi, B. R. Viers, M. K. Tollefson, M. T. Gettman, and I. Frank, "Initial experience with da Vinci single-port robot-assisted radical prostatectomies," *Eur. Urol.*, vol. 77, no. 3, pp. 373–379, 2020. [Online]. Available: [HTML].

[2] P. E. Dupont, B. J. Nelson, M. Goldfarb, B. Hannaford, A. Menciassi, M. K. O'Malley, N. Simaan, P. Valdastri, and G. Z. Yang, "A decade retrospective of medical robotics research from 2010 to 2020," *Sci. Robot.*, vol. 6, no. 60, p. eabi8017, 2021. [Online]. Available: nih.gov.

[3] X. V. Wang and L. Wang, "A literature survey of the robotic technologies during the COVID-19 pandemic," *J. Manuf. Syst.*, 2021. [Online]. Available: sciencedirect.com.

[4] J. Klodmann, C. Schlenk, A. Hellings-Kuß, T. Bahls, R. Unterhinninghofen, A. Albu-Schäffer, and G. Hirzinger, "An introduction to robotically assisted surgical systems: current developments and focus areas of research," *Curr. Robot. Rep.*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 321–332, 2021. [Online]. Available: springer.com.

[5] H. N. Shah, A. A. Barrett, P. H. Le, P. Arora, R. Kamal, and D. F. Amanatullah, "Lack of alignment between orthopaedic surgeon priorities and patient expectations in total joint arthroplasty," *Patient Saf. Surg.*, vol. 17, no. 1, p. 17, 2023. [Online]. Available: springer.com.

[6] O. Adebayo, "Robotic surgery in Nigeria: An uncertain possibility," *Int. Surg. J.*, 2020.

[7] M. Borghesi *et al.*, "Complications after systematic, random, and image-guided prostate biopsy," *Eur. Urol.*, 2017.

[8] Handa *et al.*, "Role of robotic-assisted surgery in public health: Its advantages and challenges," *Cureus*, 2023.

[9] Lanfranco *et al.*, "Robotic surgery: A current perspective," *Ann. Surg.*, 2004.

[10] M. Eissa, "Shaping the future of general surgery: A minireview for a fusion of technological advancements, ethical considerations, and global health equity," *J. Med. Res. Rev.*, 2023.

[11] G. Palomba, V. P. Dinuzzi, M. Capuano, and P. Anoldo, "Robotic versus laparoscopic colorectal surgery in elderly patients in terms of recovery time: A monocentric experience," *Int. J. Robot. Surg.*, Springer, 2021. [Online]. Available: springer.com.

[12] J. Torous, S. Bucci, I. H. Bell, and L. V. Kessing, "The growing field of digital psychiatry: Current evidence and the future of apps, social media, chatbots, and virtual reality," *World Psychiatry*, 2021. [Online]. Available: wiley.com.

[13] J. Holland, L. Kingston, C. McCarthy, E. Armstrong, P. O'Dwyer, F. Merz, and M. McConnell, "Service robots in the healthcare sector," *Robotics*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 47, 2021. [Online]. Available: mdpi.com .